

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/196,963	SINGHAL, TARA C.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Daniel St.Cyr	2876	

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel St.Cyr.

(2) Tara Chand Singal.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 16 May 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

53, 72

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After numerous discussions with the applicant, further search, and thorough examination of the present application, the limitations "... computing the contribution by multiplying the sale amount and a percent of sale ..." were determined to be different from the normal percentage calculation of charitable contribution. These limitations were inserted into other independent claims. Suggestion for claims 53 and was emailed to the applicant, he responded by agreeing with the amendment of claim 53 and amended claim 72 to his liking. The examiner further amended claim 72 via email, the applicant called the examiner to change a semi-column after 'sale' on the 3rd line to a comma. .